

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80827
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of I-gel and Laryngeal Mask Airway Proseal for Clinical Performance in Short Surgical Procedures under General Anaesthesia: A Study Protocol
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Tables available are incomplete</li><li>2. No clear conclusion about the comparison between the devices</li><li>3. Both devices are now used in the routine practice, similar studies were done previously followed by this systematic review in 2015</li></ol> <p><i>Comparison of the I-Gel and the Laryngeal Mask Airway Proseal during General Anesthesia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119469">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119469</a></i></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Introduction to be revised too long with much unneeded basic knowledge</li><li>2. Anesthesia time, operation time, total dose of propofol need to be mentioned</li><li>3. Mallampati classification is missing</li><li>4. References from 23 to 32 are irrelevant for the study</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. English needs fine tuning</li><li>2. Consider reediting the title similar to Ref 17</li></ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Yasser Mostafa Samhan
Department, University & Country	Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Egypt