

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80824
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Pre-determined question paper with take home question paper to evaluate the efficacy of writing skill in final BDS student.
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Kindly revise your manuscripts as it currently has too many typos2. It is not clear what this protocol seeks to achieve. Kindly make that clear in your study.3. Your study did not present any result yet there are statements in your study that makes it seems you have run some experiment. If there is any experiment conducted then kindly present the results4. The structure of the paper should be looked at again and if possible restructured5. You have 23 references but I can only see two cited in the main work. Every item in the reference list should be cited in the main content6. Your study lacks conclusion. I suggest that you get a typical protocol paper as a guide to prepare your manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Justice Kwame Appati
Department, University & Country	University of Ghana, Ghana