

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_80817 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A Study Protocol for Assessing the Effect of Pre-Emptive Oral Clonidine on Intraoperative Hemodynamics and Surgical Field Quality during Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (FESS) under General Anesthesia. |
| Type of the Article | Study Protocol |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Discussion seems to shallow, if possible, better to elaborate you finding using other studies that corroborates your outcome variables. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ This manuscript is high quality for publication after minor revision. ➤ The title is relevant as to me. ➤ The title and abstract cover the main aspect of the work and informative. ➤ The introduction section provides background information relevant to the study. ➤ The results are novel and data generated seems plausible. ➤ The methods are clear and results match with the methods ➤ The manuscript is full of typo-grammatical errors which needs suitable amendment. Some mistakes are as follow <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Avoid extra space-'reducing bleeding during.....' - Correct '200 µgm' as '200 µg', '2µgm/kg', as '2 µg/kg' ➤ Except percent a unit should be kept after a space next to number: 2µgm/kg, 3mg/kg, 10mg/bolus, 60-75mmHg.....Amend such terms consistently. ➤ Better to present finding and score in tabulation format. ➤ The conclusion was done in parallel to findings entertained. <p>Reference: references have the correct style. Better to incorporate the 'DOI' of the article cited in the reference list.</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♥ I would like to recommend to use automated reference managing soft wares such as Mendeley, Endnote... ♥ Better to insert captions for tables and figures in the manuscript and should be cross referenced in the text. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Woretaw Sisay Zewdu |
| Department, University & Country | Debre Tabor University, Ethiopia |