Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_80772**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessing the Efficacy of Low-Dose Topical Atropine (0.01%) for Controlling the Progress of Myopia Among School Children**
Type of the Article	**Study Protocol**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Provide continuous line numbers 2. Add some more literatures in the introduction 3. Merge background and rationale in to introduction. Conclude the introduction session by putting objectives 4. Follow the manuscript write-up protocol such as Abstract, introduction, methodology, result, discussion and references 5. Was the study completed or not yet? The whole manuscript seems proposal please rewrite it. If it is manuscript, use past tense, not future tense during narration 6. Your findings or results are narrowly explained I suggest you to expand the result session and discussion without a sound result is nothing 7. Conclusion????	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 8: Replace it with "Myopia" Line 9: Remove it Line 21-22: It should be "was" Line 24: Replace it by "was found" Line 40: But I don't know when this study has conducted. So you should set your study period. Line 67-69: Make it short by removing unnecessary narrations i.e. a study from India revealed that the prevalence of myopia was… Line 76-77: Relocate this statement before line 74 Line 79: Merge this into introduction and remove redundant statements Line 144-145: This is part of Ethics and consent to participate which is better to write at the end of the manuscript. Line 149: It lacks time specification so you may say all patients with myopia coming to AVBRH during data collection period were study participants. Line 193: Avoid it. Note that under methodology session, there are study area & setting, selection criteria, sample size determination, sampling technique, data collection tools and criteria, operational definition and statistical analysis Line 244: Replace the word percept by % in the whole document Reduce using old references	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Mohammed Abdu Seid**
Department, University & Country	**Debre Tabor University, Ethiopia**