

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80770
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of Optimal Utilization of Radio-diagnostics at Acharya Vinoba Bhave Rural hospital, Wardha.
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Lack of detailed characteristics of study group. It should be highlighted in "material & methods" section. How many people took part in the study? What was the mean age +/- standard deviation? Which age group was the most frequent?</p> <p>2. Was the Institutional Ethics Committee consent obtained to conduct a study?</p> <p>3. When will the study be conducted? As researcher you should be as much specific as it is possible.</p> <p>4. What were the inclusion and exclusion criteria for creating the study group? You should describe it in "material and methods".</p> <p>5. I really like your reference list which you have prepared – try to expand references and make them more up to date (up to 5 years back: 2015/2016 – now). Approximately 8 positions is older than 2015-2016 period.</p> <p>6. English language is suitable for publishing the manuscript, but in some places (I put them in red after correction) should be improved and developed: some spelling mistakes. Manuscript should be checked by professional and fluent English-speaking person.</p> <p>7. You should also mention the statistical analysis description - What kind of tests did you use? Which statistical methods were included in the study? Which statistical program did you use? It should be explained in detail.</p> <p>8. Who was the author of the questionnaire? Was the questionnaire standardised? How was it prepared? Maybe based on medical guidelines? If yes – which one? It should be described.</p> <p>9. What is „cynshia" at the end of the manuscript? The abbreviation "AVBRH" should be explained in the abstract.</p> <p>10. Generally, introduction of the manuscript text is very long in comparison with discussion and conclusions part – when you will be preparing final version try to minimalise introduction into the most important information and try to expand discussion and conclusions as much as you can.</p> <p>I'd suggest preparing diagrams and tables where you can describe study group extensively. It's more tempting than wall of text in the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No. But I've asked author a question about obtaining the Institutional Ethics Committee consent to conduct a research.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irmina Morawska
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Silesia, Poland