

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80768
Title of the Manuscript:	Method development and Validation of a specific stability indicating RP002DHPLC method for Molnupiravir API
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The high mortality rate observed from the current COVID-19 crisis require the use of efficacious preventive and curing (vaccines/drugs) measures against the dreaded virus. Drugs and vaccines with high efficacy reduce/eliminate chances of reoccurring viral variants. The efficacy of every drug/vaccine also depend on the precision, accuracy etc. of the different techniques employed during its production/stress testing. The present study provides a new technique in the assessment of the quality of an available antiviral API Molnupiravir used against COVID-19.</p> <p>I applaud the author of the script for an excellent scientific write up. I think the author should consider implementing the few comments made In the script</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work on his/her references • Discussion: Also validate method by comparing it with other methods use in stress testing (<u>concept of choice</u>). • Include list of abbreviation • Consider using the APA method of referencing. 	
Minor REVISION comments	NIL	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samka Peregrine Maishu
Department, University & Country	University Of Bamenda, Cameroon