

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80762
Title of the Manuscript:	"A cross-sectional study to assess the patient's confidence regarding secondary lifestyle modification and knowledge of heart attack symptoms following percutaneous revascularization in selected hospitals of Navi Mumbai."
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Should not exceed 300 words in length Background of the study in abstract only mentions about the high incidence of CAD in developing country, but does not mention about the confidences on lifestyle modification or disease recognition, which are important issues. <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> There's a repeat of the sentence "Furthermore, inappropriate..... (25) " <p>Results</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Table no.1 // Total family income ->> Please specify the unit of currency (USD or INR) Table no.1// Current diagnosis -> STEMI (29.33) + NSTEMI (22.66) + CAD (48) = only 99.99% (the sum of percentage should be 100%) Table no. 2 // HGT is stand for?? There is no description of the research findings in Tables 1 and 2 <p>Reference</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Edit the reference format and the order of references in the article (see the journal author's guidelines.) 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Article titles should be based on the author guideline: Introduction, Material and methods, Results and discussion, Reference 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kitigon Vichairuangthum
Department, University & Country	Kluaynamthai Hospital, Thailand