

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80737
Title of the Manuscript:	Osteopetrosis a significant bone disease – A Case Study
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: In the background of the Abstract the Last sentence can be revised to include the Design for example, instead of "In this article, the author can start "In this Case Study/Report, the author (instead of patient) describes a Case of a patient with osteopetrosis with left and right hip osteoarthritis". The Author should write the Sections on Clinical Findings, Diagnostic evaluation, Peripheral Smear and Therapeutical Intervention in Complete sentences or as Paragraphs of complete sentences to describe the Phrases and Figures.</p> <p>Introduction: The author should replace It starting the section with the specific Condition. He/She should also consider expounding the Background of the Abstract more in the Introduction to add more details on symptoms, diagnosis, treatment, follow-up and outcomes.</p> <p>Case Presentation: Before discussing the Case, the author should state whether he/she obtained an Informed Consent from the patient or not and if not why?. The author should add the expected outcome of the Therapeutic Intervention and the actual outcome of the treatment, to the outcome.</p> <p>Discussion: The author should start by reorienting the reader to the condition and why it noteworthy or unique, for publication, the main challenge in the Case, His/Her findings/treatment outcome, whether it is what he/she expected of the Case and how the finding or evidence adds value to the Clinical Practice.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Editorial, Like separating joined words Introduction section should start on its own page	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mburu Samuel
Department, University & Country	Kirinyaga University, Kenya