

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80663
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Moderate to Severe Asthma in Primary Care, Review Article
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article is informative and throws much light on the management of asthma. However, to make the article more attractive, the following points need to be considered</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A brief history of asthma- historical documentation and historical treatment techniques. 2. Proper citations should be given preferably after each sentence with data or claim. It is advisable that citations should not be jumbled at the end of the paragraphs. 3. A para about pathogenesis of asthma should be given and should be backed by a diagram. 4. a global burden of asthma requires to be given and should be backed by recent citation. WHO data is strongly encouraged. 5. Mode of actions of popular asthma controlling medicines should be discussed in brief and should be backed by appropriate diagrams. 6. Herbal remedies in asthma management should be added as a separate para (around 200-300 words) 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please consult the manuscript file for further comments.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dwaipayan Sinha
Department, University & Country	Government General Degree College, India