

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80644
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of The Knowledge of Saudi Women in Childbearing Period Towards Toxoplasmosis Induced Teratogenicity – Evidence from A Cross-Sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. in the introduction should write the prevalence of toxoplasma in the world, analysis of the situation in Saudi arab</li><li>2. In the research methodology, the abstract should be written about the sampling technique, whether using probability sampling or non-probability sampling, then also the population, how many samples?</li><li>3. In the results section in the abstract it is better to write how the statistical significance</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	should be equipped with a bivariate analysis table which includes the percentage of exposed and unexposed subjects as well as sick and non-ill status, Confident Interval, Odds ratio, and statistical significance (p-value) to make it easier for readers to understand the journal. but also as evidence in calculations or statistical analysis	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In this study, how does a researcher control bias in research? because in cross-sectional the weakness is bias, so my suggestion is to have a multivariate analysis or the simplest is to have matching in research	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wuri Ratna Hidayani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Respati STIKES Tasikmalaya, Indonesia</b>