

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80637
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF DEPRESSION IN MEDICAL STAFF IN KSA: CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The study relates solely to the effect of individual (demographic) variables and Vitamin D deficiency, hypertension and diabetes mellitus. Depression however, as condition and transitional episodes relates to the subjectively perceived experiences, as well as with the preferred self-regulation models and coping potential. There is no clear distinction and this has to be specified. Especially in view to "daily" moods, mentioned by the author. Inclusion of nationality as a variable is also not well supported.</p> <p>The idea of the new highlights or implications is missing, which does not support the decision for publishing in this form. The differences among the medical specialties and terms of experience is not interpreted and discussed, just mentioned as a result. It is advisable the author to express in a clear manner</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What is the new, even if it is replication</li> <li>2. Where and how the results can be implemented in view to be beneficial</li> <li>3. What is the suggestion / position for future research?</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Margarita Bakracheva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, Bulgaria</b>