

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80607
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF LUNG FUNCTION TEST AMONG HEALTHY AND BLOW OUT WHISTLE BEGINNERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>In the methodology section ,it has been mentioned that the study included 60 individuals and they were divided into two groups, however the results mention as pretest and post test values not mentioning about groups and conclusion part mentions about significant difference between healthy individuals and blow out whistle beginners. In my opinion if the study compares the values between healthy and whistle blowers then unpaired student t test need to be used. kindly clarify</p> <p>Methodology and result sections requires elaboration of how the test was performed and results obtained</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Few sentences in the article requires grammatical corrections</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	----	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> It has been mentioned in the article that approval from SRB was obtained	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Veena C N</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr Chandramma Dayananda Sagar Institute of Medical Education and Research , India</b>