

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80503
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis with Traditional Chinese Medicine Gushukang
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors outline the potential mechanisms for Gushukang (GSK) and the benefits of this TCM in treatment of osteoporosis. In the Introduction Section, the authors state that 'the mechanisms by which it attacks the body are relatively complicated.' This should be reworded, and the mechanisms for osteoporosis / targets for treatment be outlined, considering the authors cover relevant aspects of how GSK acts on multiple signalling pathways related to bone remodelling.</p> <p>The authors state that there are several key components of GSK and included a useful diagram of this. A table summarising how each active component works would be helpful.</p> <p>A paragraph on specific recommendations on the way forward to strengthen the evidence base of GSK in treating osteoporosis is warranted, before the Conclusion</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This paper should be of interest to international readers as it raises awareness of GSK and its potential in osteoporosis treatment, which may pave the way for future studies. For major revision by authors to incorporate these aspects outlined above.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teo Shyh Poh
Department, University & Country	Raja Isteri Pengiran Anak Saleha Hospital, Brunei