

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80482
Title of the Manuscript:	FREQUENCY OF SPOT URINE PROTEIN:CREATININE RATIO AND 24-HOUR URINARY PROTEIN IN TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The Title of the Manuscript should be changed to.....</p> <p>COMPARING SPOT URINE PROTEIN:CREATININE RATIO AND 24-HOUR URINARY PROTEIN ESTIMATION IN TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS PATIENTS</p> <p>2. There are too many typographical and grammatical errors all through the manuscript. Many of the statements and sentences are not flowing and difficult to understand, and some appeared ambiguous and meaningless. Many of the sentences are too long, and revising and shortening them may make their meaning clearer</p> <p>3. The Manuscript should be re-written in appropriate English and scientific language.</p> <p>4. There is no INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND for the abstract. Add this please.</p> <p>5. Some keywords were not found inside the abstract. Correct accordingly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript can be accepted if the author can correct all the grammatical and typographical errors. He should see all the corrections done inside the reviewed manuscript and effect the changes.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nneka Chioma Okoronkwo
Department, University & Country	Abia State University, Nigeria