

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80463
Title of the Manuscript:	Blood Coagulation: A Potentially Lethal Manifestation in Covid-19 Patients
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Page 6: laboratory findings: Authors should include PDF, thrombin–antithrombin complex (TAT) and plasmin- α 2 plasmin inhibitor complex (PIC), which are essential markers for coagulation and fibrinolysis activation, since in fatal cases, there is a possibility that suppressed-fibrinolytic-type DIC has changed to an enhanced-fibrinolytic-type DIC. The authors could also refer to different molecules of the coagulation system that participate in immunothrombosis, such as tissue factor, factors of the contact system, and neutrophil extracellular traps, complement and kallikrein-kinin system activation. page 9: treatment: The authors could mention the importance of being attentive to complications from the use of heparin and heparinoids, and the development of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia.	
Minor REVISION comments	Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) is strongly influenced by fibrinogen levels; therefore, it is not a reliable test.	
Optional/General comments	overall, this work is quite simple, the authors should improve it, for better impact.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adriana Ines Woods
Department, University & Country	Instituto de Medicina Experimental (IMEX)-CONICET-Academia Nacional de Medicina, Argentina