

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80441
Title of the Manuscript:	Relation between COVID 19 and Diabetes Mellitus
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I congratulate the authors for investigating an interesting subject. Nevertheless, the article needs major revision and rewriting. I will try to summarize my critiques below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Throughout all the paper, English needs a profound revision; some sentences are unclear and confusing and proper punctuation and capitalization in the beginning of sentences is lacking. This makes the article very difficult to follow and hard to understand.2. The citations are not properly used; there are long paragraphs, covering different subjects, which have only one citation; in several instances, when consulting the paper cited by the authors, I did not find information supporting all the claims.3. Conclusions must be concise and to the point, and must follow all the text written up to that section;4. Authors must present more detail regarding the type of study that was conducted. Was this a narrative review? If so, this must be properly stated.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ezequiel Pinto
Department, University & Country	University of Algarve, Portugal