

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	MS_JPRI_80432
Title of the Manuscript:	Case report on management of thrombosis in popliteal artery, arterial embolization and thrombosis of left limb
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>An embolus or acute thrombosis can cause arterial vascular occlusion. A precise identification of an arterial blockage as embolic or thrombotic in nature is essential before effective treatment can begin. Thus, the authors report the case of the patient with thrombosis and arterial embolization.</p> <p>The manuscript is comprehensive and fulfills all criteria for case report description. It is written on seven pages, one of which provides references. It consists of the part dedicated to the introduction, timeline, patient identification, past and present medical history, family history, past management, clinical finding and current management with the focus on the nursing care. The article also provides discussion and conclusions.</p> <p>There are two tables clarifying the text.</p> <p><u>Content suggestions:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are several discrepancies in the text – he is 20- or 24-year old ? 2. Please, improve the English language. 3. I do not have any significant content suggestions – the text includes all the important and available information. <p>The article can be published after the improvement of the manuscript according to the suggestions of the reviewers.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucia Stanciakova
Department, University & Country	Comenius University, Slovakia