

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80422
Title of the Manuscript:	Tongue Reconstruction by Nasolabial flap
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	this is a flap that must be done especially for women and if there is no other solution because first of all the problem with the naso genius flap is that it retracts and gets balled up with time, which requires massage sessions that are not feasible for the tongue and a secondary degreasing. I blame you for the poor aesthetic outcome in the long term. The second point is the problem of poil in bearded men, haven't you thought about laser hair removal?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Belmaati Cherkaoui Ghita
Department, University & Country	Mohamed IV Hospital University, Mohammed I university, Morocco