

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80415
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HEMATOLOGICAL AND SERUM ELECTROLYTES EFFECTS OF INTERMITTENT FASTING ON MICE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Determine &amp; State the reason for the statement mentioned in the first paragraph of experimental procedure i.e. "Any individual mouse that died from unknown causes will be excluded from the study."</li> <li>2. Clarify whether approval has already taken prior to conduct this study or not because the statement "the protocol will be approved by College of Health Science Research Ethics Committee, University of Nigeria Enugu Campus" means something else or it may be a grammatical error.</li> <li>3. Give the brief introduction about the ANOVA, followed by Tukey's post hoc test for multiple comparisons and the software used IBM SPSS version 25.</li> <li>4. Author must show some of the experimental image in the manuscript.</li> <li>5. Author should carry this research work further to determine the rationale behind the no significant difference in the parameters studied.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check the grammatical mistakes throughout the paper before final submissions.</li> <li>2. There is no uniformity in the references; the same format should be followed for all the references within the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check &amp; clarify the date of ethical approval.</li> </ol>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ayush Madan
Department, University & Country	<b>(Deemed to be University), Meerut, India</b>