

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80390
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Status of Pregnancy-induced Hypertension and Pre-eclampsia among Sudanese Women
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no comment what was the period of gestation of study subjects when they were diagnosed as gestational hypertension 2. In inclusion criteria we have mentioned "All clinically diagnosed cases of eclampsia, preeclampsia, HELLP, and post-partum condition of eclampsia, preeclampsia and HELLP". Were patients of gestational hypertension not included. Then what does this number 228 depict? 3. Which classification of Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy are we following ? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In Results 3.3, its not clear the results are related to Group A (study group) or Group B (control group). If only of Group A then Group B should also be given as its comparative study 2. In para 3.4 also, are we talking about past history in study group or control group. Please clarify 3. In 3.5 complications are shown. Complications at Outpatient clinic. Were the patients followed till delivery and during this period complications developed or came in OPD with these serious complications – in that case it should be in emergency and not OPD 4. In 3.7, serum calcium was decreased in study subjects and not normotensive subjects 	
Optional/General comments	Few things need to be clarified. The Groups should be clear. In one table its Group A (Study group) and Group B (control group). In others these groups are used within study group	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parneet Kaur
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, Patiala, India