

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80330
Title of the Manuscript:	COVID-19 IN CANCER PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract must contain main findings. Instead of including core results, the author has described study plan in the abstract that should be included in introduction, otherwise should be omitted. Beside poor level of English, abstract does not conclude the paper i.e abstract lacks abstract. 2. Level of English throughout the article needs to be improved. Besides typos, there exist grammatical issues and problem in sentence structure. 3. In lines 8-9, author's claim "Incidence rates in cancer patients have increased as the pandemic has progressed, indicating a higher proportion of serious disease cases" needs evidences/ reference. 4. Review methodology must be described. 5. Introduction does not include sufficient information. It must have strong background and related information intensify the significance of study. 6. Section 2 "Risk and Biology of SARS-CoV-2 infection in cancer patients" does not clearly relates SARS-COV-2 infection in cancer patients, rather general causes. It must have sound relation as specified in title. 7. Section 5, "Lung cancer association with covid" lacks references and consistency. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It is better that the author must revisit the entire issue and make a clear foundation for developing the article and generate a sound paper with key findings.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ishtiaq Ahmad</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Public Schools And Colleges Jutial Gilgit, Pakistan</i>