

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80302
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Lectin mediated agglutination reaction on RBC surface antigens using hot and cold water plant extracts
Type of the Article	Original study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>An interesting work with probably great interest for the Journal's public. In this initial original research, the agglutination reactions envisaged investigating the effect of different cold and hot water extracted plants on RBC antigens as an alternative to commercial monoclonal antibodies. The study suggested that plant lectins may play an important physiological role still unknown yet but opening a waste research field.</p> <p>Hard points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - An interesting field with multifarious variables - Great potential for further applicability of the obtained results <p>What to correct:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please develop more the Introduction part introducing also other main authors who searched in this topic, developing also the number of authors in the references. - Please present statistics as Anova analyze with (real) p-values and significance included - Please represent the figures with scale and description. - Please rearrange the conclusions part (be more specific, what plants, p-values, significance) 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please correct/add the up mentioned!</p> <p>Decision: major correction</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper is publishable after the small amendments are fulfilled.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Romeo Teodor Cristina
Department, University & Country	Banat's University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine "King Michael I of Romania" from Timisoara, Romania