

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name: Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International.	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80290
Title of the Manuscript:	TVS ELASTOGRAPHY ON BENIGN MASSES OF CERVIX
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Good evening. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this article I am satisfied with the way it was designed and indeed, access to transvaginal ultrasound is easier and more economically cost effective than MRI. Perhaps a little difference should be made and the emphasis on MRI brings additional information in terms of pelvic lymph nodes and infiltration of parameters, which is important for pre-treatment diagnosis (radiochemotherapy), surgical attitude and response to radiochemotherapy Is the method also useful for monitoring after treatment (radiochemotherapy)? what is the experience of the authors in using this method. Maybe starting from this we can continue with the review. The article is well designed and requires minimal modifications. Is there a possibility of pictures during the examination?</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Prunoiu Virgiliu-Mihail</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania</i>