

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80284
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ALGORITHMIC APPROACH OF EVIDENCE-BASED DENTISTRY- REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Even though the title of the manuscript mentioned about 'evidence-based dentistry' but only one sentence, i.e. "In recent years, practice of dentistry has become more complex and challenging, because of its advancement on a daily basis," (p. 1 Abstract) coded 'practice of dentistry' from the whole paper. The paper need to have more references on evidence-based dentistry to fit to the title.</li> <li>- "It has been found that only 10% of medical experience is based on validated research." need references to support this statement (p.2 Introduction)</li> <li>- "It may take 17–20 years to implement the research-based knowledge to patient care(5).(6).(7–20) ,(21–25)" (p.2 Introduction) why there were so many references (21 references) for only one sentence.</li> <li>- Part of the 'SEARCH ENGINES" (p. 8) need references for Google scholar, Microsoft Academic, BASE, iSeek, PubMed Central</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prathip Phantumvanit
Department, University & Country	Thammasat University, Thailand