

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80275
Title of the Manuscript:	Gestational Diabetes as a Risk Factor for Cardiovascular Disorders
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1. The timeline of the study is not clear. It was written that the study was conducted from june 2020 to june 2021. But it was also mentioned that follow up was done every 2 years, as well as 4 years. I am not clear about how it was conducted. Whether retrospective data of pregnancy was used or groping done 1 <sup>st</sup> and then followed up in every 2 years or not. And if it was prospective then the study period makes it confusing. It should be made clear.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please correct the spacing and designs of paragraphs in few places where its not in order.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Excellent topic with perfect title that reflect the whole content and well conducted and written. Just clear the period of the study and it will absolutely be a good one.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ankan Kumar Paul
Department, University & Country	Barts Health NHS Trust, UK