

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80257
Title of the Manuscript:	Fractures of tibia diaphysis managed with internal fixation by elastic nails: an alternative approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript has great potential.</p> <p>In Observations and Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the point 5: What do you mean Satisfactory Union? You can use nomenclature according Lane and Sandhu: could be the score 5/10? - I don't find the results of functional outcome evaluated by Alho & Ekeland criteria. I just read results of criteria B(ROM of knee) - Classification is recommended. (The results also depend on classification fractures. An example a fracture 42c3 can't full weight bearing like fracture 42A1, or early full weight in fracture 42c3 can result in shortening. If you don't agree the classification will help me understand). <p>In Discussion: According Chiu et al. your results are similar. But I don't find them.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In Material and Method – inclusion Criteria: could specify the classification according AO, Tscherne or Gustilo because a fracture AO/OTA 42C3 Gustilo 3b you can't treat with Nail. In fact, there is vascular injury in exclusion criteria. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Giuseppe Mobilia
Department, University & Country	Policlínico G.Rodolico Catania & Università degli studi di Catania, Italy