

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80247
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF DIABETIC RETINOPATHY AMONG THE DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE –II PATIENTS AT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL OF HYDERABAD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in the Introduction must have references. as well as should describe the basic principles of research, earlier background work and the aim of the present study. Hypothesis to be tested should be specified. - Writ the title of tables before each table with bold. - Write the results, and explain it. - the paper is poorly references (in introduction and discussion), must added modern references and compared with your results, and explained the causes 	
Minor REVISION comments	the paper is poorly references (in introduction and discussion), must added modern references and compared with your results, and explained the causes.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in the Introduction must have references. as well as should describe the basic principles of research, earlier background work and the aim of the present study. Hypothesis to be tested should be specified. - Writ the title of tables before each table with bold. - Write the results, and explain it. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nihad Khalawe Tektook
Department, University & Country	Middle Technical University, Iraq