

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80242
Title of the Manuscript:	Meta-Analysis of Steroids And Their Impact In Mucormycosis
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is overall clear and writing is adequate. However, I think that authors should perform an additional and careful language and grammar review of the manuscript, since several mistakes are still found throughout the text. I recommend, if possible, a professional grammar review for the final improvement of the paper, since this a very important component of writing a scientific article. 2. The introduction is short. I would strongly recommend the authors revising this part extensively. It would be important to communicate with the readers what we have known about COVID-19 associated mucormycosis (CAM) up until now and what is the major gap of knowledge in this condition. The current introduction is simply too generic and is not well related to CAM. 3. The authors should refrain from using the word 'black fungus' which is non-scientific. It is non-melanised. 4. I recommend writing it into paragraphs rather than writing in bullets with informal language in some of the bullets. 5. It is also essential to describe your case definitions of CAM clearly. 6. It is requested to use the expanded form for all the abbreviations appeared for the first time in the manuscript. 7. Please be clear about dosing of steroids. Was it prednisone, dexamethasone equivalent or something else? 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marwa Mahmoud Abdelrady
Department, University & Country	Assuit University, Egypt