

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80240
Title of the Manuscript:	Splinting in Periodontics: An Update
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I WONDER IF THIS MANUSCRIPT IS A REVIEW ARTICLE OR A TRIAL ONE, BECAUSE IN "DISCUSSION" PART THERE ARE EXAMPLES OF DIFFERENT STUDIES WITH DIFFERENT TYPES OF SPLINTS AND AT LAST THE AUTHOR/S CONCLUDED ONE KIND OF SPLINT IS BETTER. I THINK THERE WAS NO SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO COME TO THIS CONCLUSION. BESIDES, "REVIEW ARTICLE" ONLY DESCRIBES DIFFERENT FEATURES OF AN ITEM WITHOUT FINAL SHARP CONCLUSION.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ferena Sayar
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran