

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80222
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of TheraNow Telehealth Physical Therapy program on hospital readmission rate post major joint replacement surgery
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Methodology:</b> 1. The author should explain why did he chose a 120 days' rehabilitation protocol? Is this time period correlated with literature data, and if so, please give some references.            2. The author should specify in detail what statistical methods/tests were used and which was the value set for the statistical significant threshold?            3. Where was the study done? In which type of hospital (general, orthopaedic hospital etc.)?  <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> explain why patients of age &lt; 40 years of age were excluded from the study.  <b>Results:</b> 1. Explain why was that patient readmitted, due to what specific complication and at exactly how many days after discharge was the patient readmitted?            2. The author should provide in the results section the statistical test that were used because the author mentioned that the TheraNow programme <i>significantly</i> reduces readmission. Provide more details in order to demonstrate the results were statistically significant.  <b>Discussion:</b> 1. Reformulate parts of the discussion section, particularly the part in which the author includes other researcher's exact words between ("").            2. The author should explain why did he took into consideration readmission due to any cause compared with readmission after total hip replacement? I believe it is a very large pallet of pathologies that readmission due to any cause can include            3. Personally, I don't think that the shortage of PT is relevant to the aim of the study. The author should consider to reformulate that section or to exclude it completely.            4. The author should provide more correlation of his findings with other studies that evaluated the readmission rate after total hip replacement (with classical PT and telerehabilitation), with other studies that evaluated this specific programme-TheraNow after hip replacement and/or in other pathologies.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please verify the English language, especially the use of different verb tenses in the same phrase (use only past tense or present tense, not both)</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pop Nadina-Liana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania</b>