

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80208
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of restorative crown of different cuspal inclination and occlusal contact on stress distribution in mandibular second premolar with different ferrule configuration and peripheral bone- 3D finite element analysis
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Abstract: It should briefly describe the purpose of the work. 2. Introduction: "Post reduces stress in this area, it dissipates force along the post length." This sentence was suggested citing literature as the basis for argumentation. 3. Materials and Methodology: It is recommended to point out the reasons for choosing the second mandibular premolar. 4. References: It is best to attach DOI to the literature.	
Optional/General comments	The work of this Study Protocol is described clearly. However, there are still parts that need to be revised. General: 5.It is better to indicate the page number and line number of the manuscript. 6.Study protocol articles do not have discussion sections, but the Limitations part can be kept.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kuan-Yu Chu
Department, University & Country	University of Taipei, Taiwan