

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80205
Title of the Manuscript:	Suicidal Behaviours In First Episode Psychoses
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include the outcomes of the observed results in the abstract 2. In the introduction revise the sentence "Psychotic disorders are show characteristic changes in multiple domains" as "Psychotic disorders are showing characteristic changes in multiple domains". 3. How several disorders related to Psychotic can be differentiated or analysed? 4. What do you mean by disability weight? 5. Relevant references are missing in the introduction. All the significant statements should be cited with appropriate references 6. Mention how does the definition of "first episode psychosis" vary across clinical practices. 7. "First episode psychosis (FEP) poses a major health risk globally". Explain what are the major health risks? 8. It is not clear how to find out the presence of suicidal ideas and attempts in FEP And the risk factors for suicidal ideas and attempts in FEP 9. In this study what are the factors were identified as the reasons for suicide? 10. Better explain the outcomes of the result of this study. 11. Conclusion may be revised with the inclusion of highlights of this study. 12. The language of the manuscript should be carefully checked and improved. <p>This manuscript can be accepted after major revision.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Thirumavalavan Munusamy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>National Central University, Taiwan</i>