

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80201
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact Of Superior And Inferior Approach In Identification And Preservation Of Spinal Accessory Nerve During Modified Radical Neck Dissection In Patients With Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma
Type of the Article	STUDY PROTOCOL

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This article is very interesting. It is well conceived and prepared. I think it can be published with minor corrections in the text. I wish the authors good luck in their work. I am very interested in reading the results of the study when it is finished.</p> <p>Eg- In the introduction, some abbreviations such as SAN and LMNs were not previously written in full.            -When I entered the written numbers into the formula used, I got a result of 34.58?            -The date planned for the study has passed Dec 2020 - May 2021y (Methodological part)?            - Although the discussion in such articles is not foreseen, I think that it is quite solidly written here.            -Why did the authors in this study limit themselves to Oral squamous cell carcinoma? I think that the type of cancer does not play a key role, but the dissection itself. They could have put in a larger number of patients in the study if they had included dissections in, for example, patients with laryngeal carcinomas.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nermin Hrnčić</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cantonal Hospital Zenica, Bosnia and Hercegovina</b>