

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80188
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative evaluation of Intra-articular Bupivacaine vs Bupivacaine and Dexmedetomidine for postoperative analgesia in arthroscopic knee surgeries: A Study Protocol
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I revised a manuscript draft entitled "A comparative evaluation of Intra-articular Bupivacaine vs Bupivacaine and Dexmedetomidine for postoperative analgesia in arthroscopic knee surgeries: A Study Protocol".</p> <p>After corrections of all notes according to my suggestions, the manuscript will deserve to be published.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 10: Please correct "is" to "are".</p> <p>Line 14: Please divide: "maybe" to "may be".</p> <p>"as a sole analgesic" is correct, if you mean in plural, change to "as sole analgesics". Please correct it.</p> <p>Line 15: Please change "routes" to "route".</p> <p>Line 20: Please remove an unnecessary space between words "Dexmedetomide" and "for".</p> <p>Line 33: Please explain with its full name the used abbreviation VAS in its first order of appearance.</p> <p>Line 51: "Analgesics provide" or "An analgesic provides" is properly. Please replace your phrase "Analgesics provides" with one of before mentioned suggestions.</p> <p>Line 55: Always explain with their full names the abbreviations in their first order of appearance. Please explain the CNS.</p> <p>Line 74, 75: "There is also an evidence" is correct.</p> <p>Line 216, line 238: Patient's is correct, instead patients.</p> <p>Line 219: Please list used statistical software for statistical analysis.</p> <p>Line 256: "effects were", instead "effect was". Please change it. If you mean in singular, than write "effect was".</p> <p>Please provide some demographic data for the patients: mean age ± SD, body mass index.</p> <p>The tables are not numerated. There are no title for the tables, too.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Petar Avramovski
Department, University & Country	Rectorate Of The University St. Clement Of Ohrid, North Macedonia