

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80182
Title of the Manuscript:	Measurement of Plasma Fibrinogen Levels among HIV infected patients: A critical Bio-Marker for Coagulation Dysfunctions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 6 of abstract should be taken to materials and methods section that is where the full explanation of methodology is required. 2. Line 12 of abstract were started with small letter, change it to capital letter 3. Check line 14 of introduction on word "activation" 4. Second to last line of introduction, use coagulation assay 5. Your statement in line 4 of materials and methods is not clear 6. Line 9 of materials and method should be clearly stated 7. Line 12 of materials and method is not clear 8. Values of your result used in discussion should be removed completely e.g 470.50 ±67.75 vs 214.75±21.25, P-value ≤0.001), 9. Conclusion before limitations of study 10. References should be in line with pattern/ example given by this journal. In this study different style were used. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Use standard deviation instead of Stander division 2. Line 16-19 of discussion is completely different from the work 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amauche Martina Ngwu
Department, University & Country	Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria