

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80154
Title of the Manuscript:	“Resistance Exercise Reduces the Necessity for Hypoglycemic Agent (Humulin) In Overweight Women with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus”
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The relevance of the Study is significant, the Literature Review is satisfactory, however, given the emergence of the analysis, here are my observations: 1. It would be interesting to increase the scope of discussion about the age factor and its physiological implications, during the gestational period; 2. To better observe the environmental aspects and their repercussions on the phenotypic dimension of pregnant women; 3. A table with the frequency distribution of the two groups, itemizing the mean values of the demographic variables and the respective target (main) variable. 4. Assuming that the sample size is small, even if the selection was made according to inclusion and exclusion criteria, including the removal of those observed, in the observation window, we have that the most adequate statistical test would be of a non-parametric nature.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rogério Ferreira Emygdio
Department, University & Country	Universidade Estácio De Sá. Av. Dom Helder Câmara, Brazil