

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80141
Title of the Manuscript:	Dextromethorphan Use in the Outpatient Department
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors studied the Dextromethorphan use in the outpatient department at a public hospital, Alkharj.</p> <p>The present presentation of the draft manuscript does not meet the publication standard in this journal. The manuscript is poor and requires rewriting in terms of the English sentences, grammars etc. However, My Specific comments are as follows:</p> <p><u>Abstract</u> Under the result section of abstract. There are total 348 patients (100%). Out of these patients Dextromethorphan used by 63.51% patients for 5 days and 30% patients for 7 days. Then what happened about rest 6.49% patients?</p> <p><u>Introduction</u> Is there same pharmacological properties of guaifenesin like Dextromethorphan drug?</p> <p><u>Methodology</u> What was the dose and duration of Dextromethorphan treatment?</p> <p><u>Results</u> What was the main reason behind there maximum numbers of prescription of Dextromethorphan included only in the month of April and June than compared to others four months during study?</p> <p>Why only Junior doctors (Residents) wrote there maximum number (99.14%) prescription that Sr. physicians?</p> <p><u>References</u> List of references not quoted as per journal standard or instruction.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p><u>Keywords:</u> Under this section write any scientific or noun word instead of "use" keyword.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p><u>Methodology</u> Can authors explain through flow diagram of about present six months study?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanvidhan G Suke
Department, University & Country	Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur, India