

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80137
Title of the Manuscript:	EPISTAXIS: PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND ITS MANAGEMENT
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Let me make a few minor recommendations</p> <p>In the section of local causes, you can add application of nasal probes, although it is mentioned later, it would be worth adding it here.</p> <p>In the section of systematic causes can be added: craniofacial trauma, long-term arterial hypertension, and chronic nephropathy.</p> <p>In pathophysiology, it is important to mention that Wooddruff plexus is formed by branches of the sphenopalatine artery that includes the posterior septal artery, a frequent cause of posterior epistaxis.</p> <p>In management section: nasal packing is an emergency measure to stop bleeding immediately, but it is recommended to remove it in the shortest possible time (first 24 hours) and proceed with endoscopic ligation to avoid revascularization.</p> <p>In the management section, endoscopic treatment is the management of choice.</p> <p>In the management section, it should be explained that external carotid artery ligation is only used in cases of severe facial trauma that prevent the endoscopic approach and the use of nasal packing due to possible intracranial complications.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>I would like to congratulate the authors of the work; it seems very complete.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Mauricio Morales Cadena
Department, University & Country	La Salle University, México