

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80133
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Versus Open Mesh Repair In Treatment Of Inguinal Hernia
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is out of the Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International scope.</p> <p>There is no structure in the abstract, it looks like long background with the objectives. Methods, results and discussion is missing. many grammatical errors: is a most common performed surgery operations- is the most commonly performed surgical operation; As known till date- As known to date; 50% direct hernias occur are of bilateral origin- 50% of direct hernias that occur are of bilateral origin; surgical- surgical; When in 1990 laparoscopic tension free technique which was introduced it was being promoted that...- When in 1990 laparoscopic tension-free technique was introduced, it was being promoted that...; wheather which technique- whether; comparitively-comparatively; srurgical - surgical; and punctuation errors: missing commas, extra spaces, unnecessary capital letters.</p> <p>Moreover, the text is copy-pasted from the introduction, conclusions.</p> <p>Introduction, the same as abstract, hard to follow, grammatical errors and punctuation.</p> <p>Both the types of surgeries- Both types of surgeries; While Mesh repair requires Prosthetics for the same.- incomplete sentences.</p> <p>The techniques are poorly defined and make the reader confused. There are only three references.</p> <p>Methods are not described. There is no ethical component. Groups are not described. If it is meta-analysis, where are statistics?</p> <p>Results are poorly described and confusing and mixed with discussion. Also many grammatical errors.</p> <p>"Laparoscopic surgery takes more time than an open repair surgery . The various institutes collected information from RCTs done by various reviewers and came to a conclusion wherein the complete operating time required for a laparoscopic repair technique was more than an open repair technique with the difference of around 45 minutes average time ."- what is a source? What is the exact operation time in your studies in both groups?</p> <p>"To be said the bilateral laparoscopic hernia repair was more efficient and cost effective since it involve much time , less complications and less recurrence which in overall is a budget and cost saving technique (6)"- it contradicts with what was said before: "Recurrence rate was moderately more in laparoscopic surgery over open surgery", "Complications- Incidence of vascular as well as visceral complications was more profound in laparoscopic method with a percentage of 39% and open with 32% ."</p> <p>Discussion is only with 4 references and looks more like a study relevance description. There are no results discussed or compared with other studies.</p> <p>Conclusions contained some information that is more suitable for introduction:</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>"Hernia in the definition means to actually protrude. Inguinal Hernia is more common among all the hernias. Hernia repair is the most common surgery performed in all medical institutions. Inguinal Hernia (repair) is a most common performed surgery operations The contents of Inguinal canal are, Spermatic cord and Ilioinguinal nerve Inguinal Hernia presents in two types Indirect and direct hernia. Indirect type is the most common The prevalence of Inguinal Hernia specifically is more common in males which is 25% and in females is 2% . As known till date, Treatment of hernia is a definitive surgery. 10-15% of hernias are direct. 50% direct hernias occur are of bilateral origin (18) And 35% of Inguinal hernias are direct. Etiology of hernia is- Chronic cough Smoking Straining Constipation Heavy work and Previous appendicectomy When in the late 1984 , Author Lichtenstein coined the term Tension free hernioplasty, it changed the conventional surgical technique by making mesh hernia repair more common. When in 1990 laparoscopic tension free technique which was introduced it was being promoted that it causes less pain shorter recovery period. There are multiple repair techniques for Inguinal hernia. This is a most common occurrence in men which does not have a particular effective surgical technique."</p> <p>"Laparoscopic method uses preperitoneal space which is minimally invasive. this study was done to find out and come to a conclusion that either laparoscopic or open mesh is very useful in inguinal hernia repair."</p> <p>almost the same:</p> <p>"This study is to determine wheather which technique is comparitvly more effective and useful in the future which will be effective as well as economical (19).</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>	<p>Poor English. The manuscript needs to be rewritten and carefully revised.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Rita Rynkevic</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Porto, Portugal</p>