

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80133
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Versus Open Mesh Repair In Treatment Of Inguinal Hernia
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract should be completely revised and written in a well organised pattern,not like an introduction and same talk of introduction like a copy of it.It shouls include background,method,results and conclusion in brief.</p> <p>Methodology is not well described and mean of search for the RCT and how they were included and excluded and method of comparison and statistical tests and programms or applications used.</p> <p>Results should be summarised ,no need to much talk and explanation because this can be done in discussion section and better to make tables to show results and significant differences.</p> <p>At end of article ,auther should state about funding,competeing interest and ethical approval.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	A lot of spelling and punctuation errors need to be corrected and revision of writing of the article is necessary .	
Optional/General comments	The introductory information about hernia as anatomy,data of prevelance and treatment options better to be in brief.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Ghalib Mohammed Redha
Department, University & Country	Al Fayhaa Teaching Hospital, Iraq