

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80115
Title of the Manuscript:	Multiple sclerosis: Diagnosis and treatment management
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In a review including the diagnosis and treatment of MS; It is recommended that the 2017 revised McDonald criteria differ from 2010, and the NEDA concept should be expanded (For example, what does NEDA-3, 4 and 5 mean?). In addition to treatments such as Siponimod (Mayzent) and Cladribine (Mavenclad), which were approved by the FDA in 2019, information about stem cell therapy would be appropriate.</p> <p>It is also recommended to evaluate the effects of nutrition and environmental factors on the disease in patients with MS. The reader also wants to know; What is the Specific disease modifying therapy switch protocols? What should be understood from the concepts of rebound and washout? In symptomatic treatment, it is recommended to emphasize treatment recommendations related to impaired sexual performance and approach to sphincter disorders. Cognitive disorders have not been adequately covered, and preventive/supportive suggestions can be mentioned. For the treatment of spasticity, it is recommended to mention practices that increase the quality of life such as baclofen pump and botulinum toxin injection.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Turan Poyraz
Department, University & Country	Medifema Hospital, Turkey