

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_80113 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessing The Roadblocks To Organ Donation. |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>The topic discussed in the article is very important and pertinent in today's scenario. However the article may be re-written in the light of the following mentioned points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The introduction section should reveal the objective of the article. Though the abstract section indicates it, we do not find any mention of goal/objective of the research in this section 2) The article can be structured in a better way. The sections like "Who is an organ donor?", "Organ donors are broadly divided into three categories" "Organs that can be donated" may be renamed or may be omitted depending on the objectives set by the authors 3) The name of the article indicate various factors which impede the process of organ donation in India. However it is found that out of total 2278 words of the whole research paper, only 700 words are actually associated with roadblocks of organ donation. 4) The requirement of legislation associated with organ donation mention in this research paper is not clear, as the objectives are not defined. 5) The author should elaborate on the findings of different studies specially from India which are mentioned in reference section. The challenges mentioned by the author like 'socio-cultural barrier' "death, a taboo subject" enjoy only 1-2 references. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name: | Somdatta Patra |
| Department, University & Country | Delhi University, India |