

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80101
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of effectiveness of Platelet Rich Fibrin Matrix (PRFM) membrane and Platelet Rich Fibrin (PRF) membrane using Vestibular Incision Subperiosteal Tunnel Access (VISTA) Approach technique for the Treatment of Multiple Gingival Recession Defects in Humans – A Study Protocol
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is better not to mention the brand name of the drug in the literature as it leads to unnecessary conflicts. Author mentioned the brand <b>Tab. Ibugesic Plus, Mox</b> in the post-operative care. So it can be removed and only drug name could be retained.</li> <li>2. In the discussion references not quoted for lengthy paragraph, so kindly quote the reference for the same.</li> <li>3. There are some special signs (special characters) in the discussions which are suggestive of directly copied and pasted from other source. Kindly make a note of it and do necessary modification.</li> <li>4. There are few references which were not followed the Vancouver style, kindly do the modification.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The study design and outcome seems to be good but need to check the novelty as there were few similar studies published in the past.	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, since the study is in vivo, need to get ethical approval prior to get into the study.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Purnachandrarao Naik. N</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sibar Institute of Dental Sciences, India</b>