

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80098
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of apical debris extrusion during root canal preparation using three different rotary file systems: A Study Protocol
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This article is presented as a research proposal. The results of the investigation are expected.	
Minor REVISION comments	The inclusion criteria do not mention the reason for the extraction of the teeth, although it is probably for orthodontic reasons.	
Optional/General comments	The keywords that are mesh terms are debris and shape, the rest are not.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enrique Rotemberg
Department, University & Country	UdelaR Montevideo, Uruguay