

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80092
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of The Effects of Lighting Conditions On The Shade Selection of Ceramics Using Visual Method
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Introduction: References regarding the choice of Grey Background2. Introduction: Objectives should state what is measured/checked and what variables are to be compared against the control.3. Method: More information is required on the method of sample size calculation.4. Method: The reason for the choice of statistical test is not mentioned.5. Method: The form of final data (Quantitative or Qualitative etc.) is not mentioned.	
Minor REVISION comments	Method: Mention the type of study in details. <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Conclusion: The author is considering sunlight as the best option, hence used as reference for comparison. Therefore, Conclusion will give second best option after sunlight.	
Optional/General comments	Suggestion: The author can use "Digital Shade Guide" for comparison of Shade Matching	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shailendra Kumar Sahu
Department, University & Country	Chhattisgarh Dental College and Research Institute, Pt. Deendayal Upadhyay Memorial Health Science and the Ayush University of Chhattisgarh, India