

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80072
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF ACNE VULGARIS ON PATIENTS AT A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Please have someone who speaks English as their first language carefully review the revised manuscript. There are grammatical errors throughout that require attention and editing. I have corrected some of these mistakes but not all.</p> <p>2. The word " Propionibacterium acnes " is misspelled in the whole manuscript.</p> <p>3. Introduction, The symbol "&" should not be used in the manuscript to represent "and".</p> <p>4. In the INCLUSION / EXCLUSION CRITERIA part, what about patients who are already on medication such as tetracycline or Retinoids? Or patients with previous or present laser treatment?</p> <p>5. Also in the INCLUSION part, are the study include new patients only? How about patients with continuous outpatient visits (such as patients who are unresponsive to treatment and therefore require frequent visits)?</p> <p>6. In the last sentence "Studies on Acne were reported by Hagone et. al.(29) and Morey et. al. (30). Other related studies were also reviewed (31-35).", the authors should provide a few sentences of the conclusion of these reviewed articles.</p> <p>7. The author should also provide a copy of the questionnaire in the manuscript.</p> <p>8. Did similar studies already been done previously in the author's country (maybe another part rather than Navi Mumbai)? If so, the author can also discuss it.</p> <p>9. The author may wish to add a dew deeper discussion point about how or what will be done after the results are obtained? (E.g. after knowing how many patients have low self-esteem, what will be done?)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Scientific names of species should be italicized.</p> <p>2. Multiple sentences that require editing because it is not understandable. Some examples such as: "In more severe acne and , later stages of puberty,psychiatric issues are more likely. (11)"</p> <p>3. Introduction part: "Acne is a serious problem when compared to other chronic illnesses(19)." What is defined as a serious problem? What other chronic diseases are compared? Most chronic diseases will also affect the patients' self-esteem even though they did not affect the patients' state of the skin.</p> <p>4. The word "et al. " is misspelled in the discussion part.</p> <p>5. STUDY SETTING, very difficult to understand which and how many hospitals were in part in this study. Please rephrase the sentence.</p> <p>6. Discussion part, the symbol "%" can be used instead of the word percent"</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Overall, this study is of course interesting for the prospects that acnes vulgaris largely affect people's self-esteem that may eventually lead to severe socioeconomic problems such as suicide attempt. It would be helpful if this study could obtain information whether in the country population how many people would require additional support (for their mental issues maybe). The study is well-designed, but some issues as mentioned above may need to address before it can be published. Also, extensive English editing is required. Therefore, I would require the author to do a major revision.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ho Yin Pekkle Lam
Department, University & Country	Tzu Chi University, Taiwan