

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80069
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of complete blood count and D-dimer among patients with Plasmodium falciparum Malaria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Give a brief background in the abstract * briefly describe the study design in the abstract * Define the terms before abbreviation in the manuscript * Review references 1, 3 and 4. Indeed, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The reference 1 seems inadequate. - References 3 and 4 are not adequate with the idea expressed in the manuscript * Justification for the relevance of the study is not shown in the introduction section. * Put legend for the figure: frequency and parasitemia * Provide a detailed description of the study population and send this part in the Methodology section * Add a Material section in which you will describe the material used (Sysmex KX-21N equipment, tubes with EDTA anticoagulants, sodium citrate etc.) * Explain the results in the discussion section 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Specify if "SPSS" is a software or not * Specify that the blood count and the measurement of D-Dimers were done respectively with the Sysmex KX-21N and the MAGLUMI 800 according to the manufacturers' protocols * Remove the title "recommendation" and put its content in the "conclusion" section 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p><u>ETHICAL APPROVAL:</u> The experiment was authorized by the Ethical Committee of the College of Medical Laboratory Sciences at National University, and verbal agreement was obtained from each participant.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dagnogo Olefongo
Department, University & Country	University of Felix Houphouët Boigny, Côte D'ivoire