

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80065
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Clinical Outcome of Cranioplasty Using Different Prosthetic Materials In Traumatic Brain Injury Patient: An Observational Study
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>First, in the statistics analysis to be used (define the kind of study , use statistics for groups) Define the age of adults patients or pediatrics (because in the case of pediatric patients there are certain changes in the use of prosthetics) Being a protocol it does not bring results and discussion please complete your bibliographic references 1,3,7,8,9,11 y 12. In the plagiarism analysis it was negative .</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The subject is interesting, I consider that when making groups or subgroups, you have to think about obtaining much more information about them, therefore your statistical analysis must be superior , in terms of the sample size, it would be necessary to expand a little according to its possibilities.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wendy Reyna Gonzalez
Department, University & Country	Benito Juarez Autonomous University of Oaxaca, México