

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80065
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Clinical Outcome of Cranioplasty Using Different Prosthetic Materials In Traumatic Brain Injury Patient: An Observational Study
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abbreviations: don't have 2. Index: don't have 3. Summary: has 4. Keywords: don't have 5. Introduction/Contextualization. Has 6. Motivation: don't have 7. Objectives: has 8. Contribution: don't have 9. Problem: don't have 10. Work organization: ok 11. Justification: has 12. Research question: don't have 13. Hypothesis don't have 14. Literature review: don't have 15. Description and operationalization of concepts does not have 16. Study design and type: don't have 17. Study location: Ok 18. Study period: Ok 19. Study population: has 20. Sample: how did you calculate sample? Why 35? Why 40?? 21. Inclusion Criteria: has 22. Exclusion Criteria: has, 23. Selection of participants: has, but confuse 24. Source of data collection: don't have 25. Data collection procedures, techniques and instruments: don't have 26. Variables: don't have 27. Data management and analysis plan: don't have 28. Study limitations: don't have 29. Dissemination of results: don't have 30. Ethical considerations: don't have 31. Potential risks and how they will be minimized: don't have. 32. Informed consent: don't have 33. Confidentiality: don't have 34. Potential benefits: ok 35. Expected results: ok 36. Timetable: don't have 37. Budget: don't have 38. Bibliography: has 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mahomed Sidique Abdul Cadar Dada</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidade Eduardo Mondlane, Mozambique</i>