

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80060
Title of the Manuscript:	To evaluate the practices and attitude regarding material of choice and method amongst dentist for repair of defective restoration of tooth in Vidarbha: A cross sectional study
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This study appears to be a pilot study that could be a guide to the treatment protocol based on the best choice of restorative material for dentists in the Vidarbha region. Therefore, the idea of this pilot study is very interesting and seems relevant when the aim is to analyze the profile of the professional and the materials he has available to carry out his work, which corroborates the main objective of this study. As for the methodology, the sample size calculation and the sample size, I think it is favorable for a pilot study, however, I would like the questionnaire evaluation methodology to be better exemplified and that the issues addressed were described in the methodology. In addition, I suggest that a copy of the questionnaire be included as supplementary material.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I've no comments in this session</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>I suggest that the research group should take into account the epidemiological profile of oral diseases, especially dental caries, in the population of Vidarbha, since this profile can influence decision-making on the type of treatment to be performed. Often in places where there is a high incidence and prevalence of caries, in addition to restorative treatment, it is necessary to intervene in health promotion, focusing mainly on caries prevention. Just restoring will not make the dentist's job easier and will make the caries-restoration cycle endless. That said, the importance of choosing the restorative material and technique are essential for the success of the treatment. However, often just considering the material for its strength and aesthetics will not be enough. Often the best material is the one that best fits the patient's reality. For cases where there is a high incidence of caries, Glass Ionomer Cement restorations seem to play a good role as a restorative material for posterior teeth, in addition to being biocompatible, not requiring light curing and releasing fluoride in the oral cavity. Of course, in terms of aesthetics, resin is and always will be the primary material of choice, in addition to presenting good resistance and retention in the cavity, when the preparation is well carried out.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Maria Eduarda Lisboa Pagnussatti</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Federal University Of Rio Grande Do Sul, Brazil</i></b>